Role of lumbar disc degeneration and genetic variation in chronic low back pain
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Abstract

Low back pain (LBP) is a common symptom and leading cause of disability worldwide. The majority of LBP is due to mechanical causes. However, it is challenging to accurately identify a causative factor for chronic LBP and it is considered a multifactorial condition. Lumbar disc degeneration (LDD) is frequently seen in patients with chronic LBP, but radiological features of disc degeneration is poorly correlated with the symptoms of LBP. The role of radiological investigations in chronic LBP is controversial, but it is frequently used in primary care despite the recommendation to use it only on selected patients with serious underlying pathology or progressive neurological symptoms. Pathophysiology of LDD is complex and is mainly genetically determined. Genetic variation may help in classifying varying degrees of LBP in patients with LDD. Improved understanding of the role of radiological features in LDD and genetic investigations help in advancing personalized care and implementing innovative strategies in the management of chronic LBP.

Introduction

Low back pain (LBP) is an extremely common health issue which affects individuals in all age groups. Most LBP is self-limiting and resolves in less than three months. It is estimated that in about 23% of those who experience LBP, symptoms persist for more than 3 months [1]. Recurrences are common among this group, with up to 85% experiencing recurrences throughout their lifetime [2]. Although the global prevalence of LBP was 9.17% in 2012, Global Burden of Disease Study highlighted that the LBP is the number one cause for years lived with disability in many regions of the world [3]. It also reported that LBP and neck pain has been the number one cause for years lived with disability in Sri Lanka since 2005. More importantly, there has been an almost 20% increase in disease burden from 2005 to 2016 in Sri Lanka [3].

LBP affects all aspects of life including physical, mental, and social well-being. It influences the essential daily activities of personal life and their roles in the family, workplace and in the community [4].

LBP is the commonest cause of musculoskeletal health issues and disability in several occupational groups of Sri Lanka [5–8]. Disability related to LBP has become a major issue in work places of low and middle income countries due to several reasons. Most of the employees are working in informal and daily paid employments. Occupational musculoskeletal health policies are poorly monitored in these countries. In addition, there are less opportunities to modify the job environment according to the requirements of the employee suffering from the disability due to LBP. Costs related to LBP are directly due to medical bills and non-medical costs (transportation for appointment and informal help etc.) and indirectly due to the loss of work and productivity [9]. Over the years, the burden of the LBP has increased, and today more than 100 billion dollars is spent annually around the world for the management of LBP [10].

There are two main types of LBP: mechanical and non-mechanical [11]. Majority of LBP is due to mechanical causes [12].
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Most cross sectional and cohort studies have identified the presence of some degree of Lumbar Disc Degeneration (LDD) in patients with chronic LBP [13]. LDD is a common finding in aging spine and symptoms of chronic LBP are not always correlated with the radiological features of LDD [14]. However, routine radiological investigations (X-ray and MRI scan of lumbar spine) are carried out in patients with LBP despite the recommendation to limit these investigations to patients who do not respond to treatment, or who have signs of neurological involvement or serious underlying pathology [15].

Environmental and psychological factors play a role in modifying the development of LBP [16]. Recent research in LBP highlight that the role of genetic factors in LDD and LBP could be as high as 30 to 46% [17]. Each patient has unique genetic profile and genetic testing would provide better understanding of the pathophysiology of LDD and pain modulation, allowing development of innovative new therapeutic techniques in the management of LBP. This review gives an overview of the clinical features and causes of LBP, the pathophysiology of LDD and its role in chronic LBP and the role of genetic variation as a modifying factor in LDD and LBP.

Clinical features and causes of low back pain

LBP is a symptom, not a disease. It is defined as pain, muscle tension, or stiffness localized below the costal margin and above the inferior gluteal folds, with or without leg pain [18]. Some patients may have neurological symptoms associated with LBP such as radicular pain or radiculopathy which is a result of a nerve root involvement [9]. Identifying a definite cause for LBP is challenging in most cases [19] and such pain is defined as nonspecific LBP [20]. Majority of non-specific LBP is mechanical in nature where the main feature is pain which starts during day time and gets worse towards evening. Mechanical LBP is associated with daily activities, particularly repeated bending, lifting, prolonged standing, sitting and walking [12]. Non-specific LBP may relate to the mechanical injuries of spinal structures and surrounding soft tissues such as sprains, strains and complications of LDD. However, there are few (approximately 3%) serious causes of chronic LBP such as malignancy (primary or secondary), infection, vertebral fracture and ankylosing spondylarthritis [21] which need urgent identification and specific management of the cause [9].

Anatomy of the lumbar disc

Lumbar discs are fibrocartilage pads between adjacent lumbar vertebral bodies which distribute compressive loading evenly on to the vertebral bodies. Lumbar disc consists of a central nucleus pulposus, surrounding annulus fibrosus and cartilage end plates [22]. Extracellular matrix of the nucleus pulposus is made up of hydrated proteoglycan gel and is held together by a loose network of collagen and elastin fibres. Anulus fibrosus is formed by 15-25 concentric lamellae made up of parallel arrays of collagen fibres within a thin proteoglycan gel. End plate separates the nucleus pulposus and annulus fibrosus from the vertebral bodies above and below [23,24].

The three-joint segment formed by the intervertebral disc and the two facet joints at the same level is called the motion segment of the spine. This is a very important joint complex when it comes to movement, flexibility and stability of the spine, and the lumbar disc is a key component of this motion segment. The lumbar disc acts as a shock absorber, absorbing some of the compressive loads and transmitting the rest along the spine [9]. As the loading pressure increases, lower levels of the spine, specifically the lumbar spine becomes more vulnerable to injuries [25].

Lumbar disc degeneration and complications

The pathophysiology of LDD is complex. Disc degeneration starts in early teenage years. Recent studies have argued that age related changes of the disc and LDD are two different processes. With aging, there is a reduction of proteoglycans leading to loss of hydration of the disc. There is increased cross linking of collagen fibres turning the disc into a stiffer and fibrous structure. In contrast, in LDD, the age related biochemical and functional changes are exaggerated. Disc degeneration is characterised by structural damage to the disc matrix and imbalance between the matrix synthesis and catabolism leading to loss of extracellular matrix [26]. Catabolic pathways become more prominent with aging and degeneration. This process is further accelerated by increased release of inflammatory cytokines. The imbalance between the degradation and inhibitory pathways is also increased with disc degeneration [27,28].
Recent cross sectional study done in Sri Lanka reported that advanced degenerative features of the spine were present in younger patients (20–29 years) with chronic LBP raising the question that LDD may not be entirely age related [29].

Further, decompression in nucleus pulposus and high compressive stress in the annulus fibrosus cause the annulus to bulge radially outwards or to collapse inward resulting in disc height loss/disc space narrowing. Annular fissures/tears appear in the disc with degeneration due to the separations between annular fibres or breaks through fibres that extend radially, transversely, or concentrically involving one or several layers of annular lamellae. Part of the nucleus pulposus, cartilage or fragmented annular tissue can be displaced beyond the intervertebral disc space through the annular fissure resulting disc herniation [13]. In addition, end plate and adjacent vertebral bone marrow show degenerative changes which are called Modic changes in parallel to the nuclear and annular degeneration of the disc [30].

**Pathophysiology of discogenic pain**

The exact pathology behind the relationship between LDD and chronic LBP is still unknown and several possible explanations have been described. There are less nerve fibres in the inner parts of the lumbar disc. Therefore, it is insensitive to painful stimuli under normal conditions. However annular tears and end plate ruptures, promote nerve in growths to the inner part of the lumbar disc. Pain receptors are stimulated when they come into contact with the leaked nuclear material from the disc [31]. Nerve entrapment by the herniated discs cause radicular pain and radiculopathy. Increased release of inflammatory cytokines stimulate nearby nerve roots and trigger pain [32]. With the loss of proteoglycans, the disc’s hydrostatic pressure falls and the load bearing function of the disc is disrupted. Abnormal load distribution on adjacent apophyseal joints promotes osteoarthritis and pain [33].

**Role of radiological features of lumbar disc degeneration in chronic low back pain**

Radiological investigations are routinely used in the management of LBP in primary care. However, its role in LBP is controversial. The main purpose of radiological investigations in LBP is related to assisting the diagnosis, guiding treatment and informing the patient about prognosis [34].

X-ray lumbar spine is a common diagnostic investigation in low and middle income countries, but what is seen is limited to bony changes related to disc degeneration. X-ray is cost effective, but exposure to high radiation is a disadvantage. Disc space narrowing and anterior osteophytes are the main x-ray features of LDD and they are highly correlated with the morphological stages of LDD [35]. Several studies have shown that disc space narrowing increases the likelihood of LBP [36–39]. The association of anterior osteophytes with chronic LBP is considered as non-significant unless there are large anterior osteophytes [40]. Most of these studies are done as population based studies and restricted to middle aged/elderly individuals or one gender. In contrast, clinic based studies have failed to identify a significant association between the x-ray features of LDD and intensity of LBP or disability [29,41,42].

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) generates a more detailed image of the disc and complications related to LDD [13]. However, its diagnostic value in chronic LBP is debatable as MRI features of disc degeneration, annular tears and disc herniation can be seen even in asymptomatic individuals [43]. Nevertheless, a recent systematic review and meta-analysis reported that several MRI findings (Modic type I, disc extrusion and spondylolysis) are common in patients with low back pain less than 50 years compared to the asymptomatic individuals [9,44]. Among the patients with LBP, only the Modic changes have a reasonable predicting value of the intensity of pain and outcome of the treatment in chronic LBP, especially in young patients [45–47].

Frequently, there are multiple degenerative radiological findings in multiple levels of the spine in patients with chronic LBP. However, it is difficult to identify which pathology leading to the radiological changes, contributes most to the patients’ symptoms. Therefore, in such situations, utilization of MRI findings as a guide to target treatment is limited [48]. Most studies have assessed the association of a single radiological finding of disc pathology with LBP and/or treatment outcome, rather than assessing effect of a combination of radiological findings (aggregate score) at multiple levels of lumbar spine [49]. A few population based studies have reported that presence of a combination of radiological features is strongly associated with both the presence of LBP and recurrence of LBP [48,50]. However, there is a lack of studies.
exploring the association between combination of radiological features with intensity of pain and treatment outcomes among patients with LBP in primary care [47].

In Sri Lanka, clinicians regularly use x-ray lumbar spine to diagnose LDD and guide the treatment. Our recent cross sectional study in patients with chronic mechanical LBP, revealed that x-ray features, specially disc space narrowing and anterior osteophytes were not associated with intensity of pain or severity of disability. Lumbar spondylolisthesis was the only x-ray feature which predicted increased severity of disability [29]. Lumbar spondylolisthesis is an advanced degenerative feature and it leads to alignment instability in the spine [13]. Similarly, MRI features of LDD could not explain the intensity of pain and severity of disability. In the follow up cohort, presence of disc herniation was associated with poor improvement of intensity of pain after three months. Furthermore, aggregate MRI findings predicted poor treatment outcome where the patients with 3-4 MRI findings had increased likelihood of poor improvement in pain intensity [unpublished data].

Other risk factors of chronic low back pain

Age, gender, and body mass index (BMI) are associated with chronic LBP [9]. According to our study findings, proportions of patients with chronic LBP increased with aging, up to the age of 60 years with intensity of pain and severity of disability being significantly high in female patients [29]. In addition, we found that patients with chronic LBP have higher BMI (29) compared to the general population of Sri Lanka (BMI values were taken from the Sri Lanka Diabetes, Cardiovascular Study) [51]. Several systematic reviews have reported that lifestyle factors such as smoking, posture and level of physical activities are also associated with an increased incidence of LBP and development of persistent pain in addition to the age, gender and BMI [9]. Furthermore, the presence of a previous episode of LBP [52] and psychological factors such as depression and distress [53] have increased risk for recurrence of LBP. There is a growing body of evidence that genetic variation is associated with degenerative features of the spine and symptoms of LBP [20].

Genetic variations and chronic low back pain

The associations of genetic variations with radiological features and back pain is complex. Candidate gene approach is the common approach for genetic testing and genes are selected based on the prior knowledge about the gene function and its relevance to the mechanism of the disease/phenotype being studied. Single nucleotide variation (SNV) is the commonest type of genetic variation. SNVs result in substitution of a single nucleotide at a specific position in the genome. SNVs are responsible for the observable characteristics of a person or physical phenotypes as well as clinical phenotypes [54].

Role of genetic variations in lumbar disc degeneration

Disc degeneration is genetically determined and is modified to some degree by behavioural and environmental factors [55]. Genetic variations can explain why some individuals develop early and severe LDD compared to others in the same age group. Heredity can determine the size, shape and mechanical and functional properties of the spinal structures. As a result, certain discs are weakened and matrix can be physically disrupted even due to activities of normal daily living [56,57]. There are four types of genes associated with different phenotypes related disc degeneration. They include genes which code for structural components of the lumbar disc (collagen, aggrecan, elastin etc.), genes coding catabolic enzymes (e.g. matrix metalloproteinases and a disintegrin and metalloprotease with thrombospondin motifs) and regulatory enzymes (e.g. tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases), genes affecting regulation of the inflammation (interleukins), and genes encoding molecules such as vitamin D which are essential components of normal bone and calcium homeostasis. SNVs of the genes encoding structural proteins such as COL1A1, COL9A2, COL9A3, COL11A1 and ACAN primarily increase the risk of disc herniation [58–60]. In contrast, SNVs of the inflammatory genes including IL1A, IL1B and IL6 and catabolic genes such as MMP3, ADAMTS4 and ADAMTS5 primarily increase the risk of LDD and Modic changes [61–66]. Certain genetic variations have interethnic variation where some SNVs have stronger or no effect on LDD depending on the type of ethnicity (eg. FokI SNV of VDR Hispanics have higher risk for disc degeneration [67].
degeneration where the individuals of Caucasian decent have no effect) [67].

**Role of genetic variations in intensity of low back pain**

Similarly, genetic variations can affect the candidate genes in pain modulation, transduction, transmission, and conduction pathways. There is evidence that SNVs of the genes coding for catechol-O-methyltransferase (*COMT*), opioid receptors (*OPRM1, OPRD1*), transient receptor potential (*TRPV1, TRPA1*), fatty acid amide hydrolase (*FAAH*) and α-subunit of voltage gated sodium channel (*SCN9A*) are associated with the intensity of pain [68]. In addition, the genes associated with different phenotypes of LDD are also associated with the peripheral modulation of pain [68,69]. Therefore genetic variation may help in classifying varying degrees of LBP in patients with LDD.

Sri Lankan population has a unique genetic profile [70]. We have reported that radiological features of LDD is not entirely age related based on the results of the genetic association study done in Sri Lankan patients with chronic LBP [60]. We found that SNVs of the candidate gene of aggrecan metabolic pathway were associated with severity of LDD, Modic changes and disc herniation. Further, several SNVs associated with the degenerative changes were associated with higher intensity of pain, severe disability and poor treatment outcome. Most of these associations between the respective SNVs and radiological/clinical phenotypes are described for the first time in the literature and it further strengthens the fact that Sri Lankans have an unique genetic profile. In addition in-silico functional analysis identified several functionally important SNVs [60,66]. These need to be confirmed with functional genetic studies to recognise the genetic expression and its function at molecular level.

Although there are several gene loci which are associated with LDD and pain, it is difficult to decide whether the association is due to either a single gene variant with an effect of higher magnitude or multiple loci with small effects [71]. Most of the identified SNVs associated with LDD/pain are located in intronic regions of the DNA sequence. The exact roles of most of the identified genetic variations are still under investigation. Most of these SNVs are acting as markers for functional variations elsewhere in the same or nearby genes. However, identification of associated genetic variants with LDD would provide insight into the process of degeneration, and will help to recognize individuals who are at risk of early and severe LDD [72].

**Key-points and future directions**

Chronic mechanical LBP is a disabling symptom which affect individuals of all age groups. Most patients with chronic LBP have disc related degenerative features. Current evidence is insufficient to decide the role of radiological findings of LDD and its complications on predicting the onset, course and recurrence of LBP. X-ray of lumbar spine is mainly indicated if there is a suspicion of a vertebral fracture in high risk patients with osteoporosis or long term steroid use [73]. MRI scan of lumbar spine should be considered in those with chronic non-specific LBP, with persisting symptoms and progressive neurological symptoms or signs [74]. Consideration of other risk factors such as environmental, psychological and occupational are important in decision making during management. Genetic profiling can be used to predict the severity of disc related degenerative features, symptoms and treatment outcome of the LBP. The cost of genetic testing comes down day by day. However, more research is needed to confirm the results of previous studies using larger sample numbers in multiple ethnicities. In addition, functional genetic studies are required to confirm the genetic expression and its function in molecular level. These measures will optimise the management of chronic LBP saving billions of money and reducing the disease burden.
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